

# ESG DATA DOWNLOAD

2020

#### **Environment**

		\	/	
ТОРІС	METRIC	2018	2019	2020
	Total energy consumed, million gigaloule (GJ)	-	14.1	13.5
ENERGY.	Percentage grid electricity <sup>[1]</sup>	-	21%	21%
ENERGY	Percentage renewable energy	-	2%	2%
	Total self-generated energy, million GJ	-	0.2	0.3
	Total Scope 1 and Scope 2 Emissions, thousand metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> e (kt CO <sub>2</sub> e) <sup>[1]</sup>	960	965	935
FMICCIONIC	Direct (Scope 1) GHG Emissions, kt CO <sub>2</sub> e <sup>[1]</sup>	575	607	585
EMISSIONS	Percentage covered under emissions-limiting regulations <sup>[2]</sup>	-	11%	16%
	Energy indirect (Scope 2) GHG Emissions, kt CO <sub>2</sub> e <sup>[1]</sup>	386	358	350

[1]2019 restated.

<sup>[2]</sup> Locations in countries falling under emissions-limiting regulations.

TOPIC	METRIC	2018	2019	2020					
	GHG Emissions by Business, kt CO <sub>2</sub> e								
	Lithium <sup>[1]</sup>	-	276	288					
	Bromine <sup>[1]</sup>	-	318	303					
	Catalysts <sup>[1]</sup>	-	341	315					
EMISSIONS	Other (FCS., offices)	-	30	29					
	$NO_X$ emissions, metric tons $(t)^{[2]}$	639	710	647					
	SO <sub>x</sub> emissions, t	-	1,458	1,402					
	VOCs, t	-	847	973					
	HAPs, t	64	178	182					
	Total water withdrawal, million cubic meters (m³) <sup>[1]</sup>	-	25.5	24.4					
	Total water consumed, million m <sup>2[1]</sup>	12.1	12.9	12.7					
WATER	Percentage of water consumed in regions with High Water Risk (category 3–4)	-	9%	10%					
	Percentage of water consumed in regions with Extremely High Water Risk (category 4–5)	-	11%	11%					
	Number of incidents of non-compliance associated with water quality	-	0	0					
	Total waste generated, hazardous and non-hazardous, thousand metric tons (kt)	-	21	327					
WASTE	Percentage of hazardous waste recycled	-	10%	9%					
	Percentage of non-hazardous waste recycled	-	-	91%					

#### Workforce

Employee Types of Injury

Percentage of workers covered by health and safety management system

TOPIC	METRIC	20	18	2019		2020			
		#	Rate	#	Rate		# Rate		
	Total Recordable Incident Rate								
HEALTH AND SAFETY	Employee	33	0.55	22	0.36	1	8 0.29		
	Contractor	10	0.75	3	0.22		2 0.14		
	Fatalities	Fatalities							
	Employee	0	0	0	0		0 0		
	Contractor	0	0	0	0		0 0		
ТОРІС	METRIC			2018		2019	2020		
	Employee Occupational Diseases			0		0	0		
	Employee Hours Worked			11,962,106		12,264,868	12,529,648		
HEALTH AND SAFETY	Contractor Hours Worked			2,669,630		2,694,534	2,781,531		

Overexertion; contact with chemicals; slip, trip, or fall; struck by or against, caught between

100%

100%

100%

TOPIC	METRIC	2018	2019	2020					
	Average training hours per employee/year								
	Male	26	26	19					
	Female	18	28	17					
	Management	28	36	22					
TRAINING AND	Non-Management	22	23	18					
DEVELOPMENT	Percentage of employees who receive regular performance reviews								
	Male	72%	66%	72%					
	Female	27%	28%	27%					
	Management	-	-	33%					
	Non-Management	-	-	66%					
	Percentage of total employees covered by collective bargaining agreements								
	Total	34%	53%	49%					
	United States	7%	6%	7%					
LABOR RELATIONS	Chile	84%	86%	88%					
LABOR RELATIONS	Netherlands	95%	93%	93%					
	Germany	80%	73%	83%					
	China	0%	84%	85%					
	Number and duration of strikes/lockouts	-	0	0					

TOPIC	METRIC	2020						
	Ratio of basic salary of Women to Men for Management							
	United States	103%						
	Chile	81%						
	EMEA	56%						
REMUNERATION	APAC	104%						
REMONERATION	Ratio of basic salary of Women to Men for Non-Management							
	United States	95%						
	Chile	143%						
	EMEA	70%						
	APAC	128%						

TOPIC	METRIC		2019		2020			
		Permanent	Temporary	Contractors	Permanent	Temporary	Contractors	
	North America	2,048	0	979	2,019	1	766	
	Latin America	745	31	70	745	57	88	
	EMEA	1,451	40	218	1,296	115	187	
EMPLOYEES BY CONTRACT TYPE	APAC	1,280	9	90	1,305	16	98	
	Male	-	-	-	4,201	106	15	
	Female	-	-	-	1,127	35	37	
	Not Declared	-	-	-	37	48	1,087	

TOPIC	METRIC	20	19	2020		
		Full-Time	Part-Time	Full-Time	Part-Time	
EMPLOYEES BY EMPLOYMENT TYPE	Male	-	-	4,267	40	
	Female	-	-	1,099	63	
	Not Declared	-	-	84	1	

TOPIC	METRIC	20	19	20	20
		Technical	Management/Administrative	Technical	Management/Administrative
	Male	77%	71%	85%	51%
EMPLOYEES BY GENDER	Female	18%	24%	14%	48%
	Not Disclosed	5%	5%	2%	1%
	Under 25	-	-	2%	1%
EMPLOYEES BY ACT CROUD	25–45	-	-	48%	54%
EMPLOYEES BY AGE GROUP	45+	-	-	48%	44%
	Not Disclosed	-	-	2%	1%
	White <sup>[1]</sup>	-	-	79%	69%
EMPLOYEES BY ETHNICITY/RACE	Non-White <sup>[1]</sup>	-	-	19%	25%
	Not Disclosed <sup>[1]</sup>	-	-	2%	6%

 $<sup>^{[1]}\!</sup>Ethnicity$  data for US only. Ethnicity data not disclosed for 2018 and 2019.

TOPIC	METRIC	20	18	20	19	2020		
		#	Rate	#	# Rate		Rate	
	Male	317	8%	339	8%	396	9%	
	Female	160	14%	152	13%	129	11%	
	Under 25 <sup>[1]</sup>	71	45%	69	43%	58	52%	
	25–45 <sup>[1]</sup>	705	25%	410	15%	323	12%	
EMPLOYEE HIRES	Over 45 <sup>[1]</sup>	245	10%	139	6%	134	5%	
	North America <sup>[1]</sup>	394	20%	263	13%	224	11%	
	Latin America <sup>[1]</sup>	186	24%	160	20%	125	16%	
	EMEA <sup>[1]</sup>	171	12%	128	9%	70	5%	
	APAC <sup>[1]</sup>	397	30%	134	10%	139	11%	
	Male	503	13%	387	10%	383	9%	
	Female	188	16%	187	17%	141	13%	
	Under 25	164	24%	76	14%	23	21%	
	25–45	363	13%	274	9%	220	8%	
EMPLOYEE TURNOVER	Over 45	173	12%	224	10%	286	11%	
	North America	447	21%	324	16%	230	11%	
	Latin America	58	9%	97	12%	90	11%	
	EMEA	141	9%	130	8%	128	9%	
	APAC	123	11%	98	8%	107	8%	

 $<sup>^{[1]}</sup>$ 2018 and 2019 restated to include Albemarle employees only.

TOPIC	METRIC	2018			2019			2020					
		U.S.	Chile	EMEA	APAC	U.S.	Chile	EMEA	APAC	U.S.	Chile	EMEA	APAC
	Ratio of standard entry level wage compared to lo	ocal minimun	n wage										
	Male	304%	198%	177%	254%	336%	214%	156%	217%	322%	169%	132%	308%
MARKET PRESENCE	Female	304%	198%	177%	254%	336%	214%	156%	217%	322%	169%	132%	308%
	Proportion of senior management hired from local community (%)	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%

## Social

TOPIC	METRIC	2018	2019	2020
	Grants Awarded to Albemarle Foundation Programs	\$5,606,228	\$6,193,676	\$5,665,655
	Employee Contributions to Albemarle Foundation Annual Campaign (U.S. only)	\$712,849	\$818,920	\$907,674
	Albemarle Foundation Scholarship Awards	\$92,500	\$85,000	\$81,250
ALBEMARLE FOUNDATION	Employee Matching Grant Program Awards	\$467,828	\$434,165	\$730,387
	Employee Volunteer Grant Program Awards	\$157,690	\$169,650	\$150,142
	Albemarle Care Fund Awards	\$34,988	\$65,854	\$58,381
	Employee volunteer hours	12,203	17,717	9,810

TOPIC	METRIC	2018		20	19	2020	
		#	Rate	#	Rate	#	Rate
PROCESS SAFETY	Process Safety Incidents Count (PSIC)	8	0.11	8	0.11	7	0.09
	Process Safety Incident Severity Rate (PSISR)	6	0.08	2	0.03	4	0.05
	Number of transport incidents	5	-	6	-	4	-

## **Products**

TOPIC	METRIC	2018	2019	2020
INNOVATION	Revenue from products designed for use-phase resource efficiency	\$1.7–2 B	\$1.7–2 B	\$1.4–1.6 B
	Active patents	2,100	2,100	2,100
	Pending patents	600	550	550

#### Governance

TOPIC	METRIC	2018	2019	2020
FINANCIAL	Net Sales	\$3.375 B	\$3.589 B	\$3.129 B
	Financial assistance received from the government <sup>[1]</sup>	\$1,380,352	\$689,030	\$11,736,425
PUBLIC POLICY	Political Contributions Albemarle Corporation (USD)	\$2,500	\$0	\$2,500
	Political contributions PAC (USD)	\$12,500	\$0	\$29,300

TOPIC	METRIC	2018	2019	2020
BOARD DIVERSITY	Male	9	9	6
	Female	2	4	3
	White	-	-	6
	Black	-	-	2
	Hispanic	-	-	1
	Under 30	0	0	0
	30–50	0	0	0
	Over 50	11	13	9

TOPIC	METRIC	2018		2019		2020	
		#	Rate	#	Rate	#	Rate
ETHICS AND COMPLIANCE	Employees Completing Code of Conduct Training  Employees Completing Anti-Corruption Training	<b>4,8</b> 59	91%	5,364 1,550	97% 93%	5,275 420	99% 93%
	Production in countries that have the 20 lowest rankings in Transparency International's Corruption Perception Index	-	0%	-	0%	-	0%

ТОРІС	METRIC	2018	2019	2020
ETHICS AND COMPLIANCE	Operations assessed for risks related to corruption <sup>[1]</sup>	11	14	6
	Confirmed incidents of corruption	-	-	0
	Number of legal actions pending or completed for anti-competitive behavior, anti-trust, and monopoly practices	-	-	0

 $<sup>^{[1]}</sup> In \ 2018, 11 \ locations \ were \ assessed \ (out \ of \ 19 \ at \ that \ time). \ In \ 2019, 14 \ locations \ were \ assessed \ (out \ of \ 25).$